







New People.....NEW Brain!?

Labels

- "Baby Boomers" :(1946 1964)
- "Generation X" :(1965 1980)
- "Generation Y" :(1981 2000)
- Millennials (2000)
- "Gen Y" and Millennials represent 35% of the population- Australian Bureau of Statistics

Can technology have an impact on the brain and behaviour?

Correlation does not prove causation!

However...if you look at various studies across various disciplines you can start to build a strong logical case for causation!





















- results in faster completion and better understanding than those who read text
- during class don't recall the lecture nor do they perform as well on a test of the material as those who aren't 'wired' during
- thinking, problem solving and vocabulary better than online text and visual media.





Gramar and Spellign

- A recent study published by Cranfield School of Management in the UK found language and learning deficits in students who used technology excessively.
- More than one-third (39.3 percent) of 11- to 18-year-olds in the survey admitted that text shortcuts damaged the quality of their written English, primarily in terms of spelling.
- The study noted that overuse of technology hinders spelling skills, implicitly encourages plagiarism, and disrupts classroom learning, and over 84 percent of teens admitted to "copying chunks of information from the internet into their homework or projects".





Technology and learning...

While technology has become very pervasive in our lives, how we learn has not changed much over the millennium....

...this is because it has taken thousands of years for the brain to evolve.





The neurobiology of learning...a simple

- 1. Gather information...
- 2. Make meaning from that information...
- 3. Create links with previous information and/or create new ideas...
- 4. Act on that information and those ideas...

A fundamental and critical component of this is 'social interaction'!

Trends in Educational Technology

- In the late 80's a trend emerged that technology was good for students - so that they will be prepared for the technology jobs/skills of the future...from this we have a continued belief that not engaging children in technology will leave them behind!
- Current thinking is that technology is an integral vehicle for improved student learning!

The use of technology in education is often premised on the following claims...

- 1. It (technology) is future oriented.
- 2. It improves skills.
- 3. It makes learning interesting.
- 4. Increases collaboration.
- 5. Without it, students will be left behind.









Children in Indian slums...(1999)

On 26th January (1999), researchers from NIIT (A management training company in India) carved a "hole in the wall" that separated the NIIT premises from the adjoining slum in Kalkaji, New Delhi. Through this hole, a freely accessible computer was put up for use. This computer proved to be an instant hit among the slum dwellers, <u>especially the children.</u> <u>With no prior experience, the children learnt to use the computer</u> <u>on their own.</u>







Technology and the future	
in loco parentis	Teachers and by their association, schools, must act in the place of parents
"in the place of a parent'	Anything we do to and with children should be based on the best available evidence

Take-away messages

- Technology is here to stay, with benefits and risks- we need to critically think through its use.
- Technology use exists on a continuum from healthy to dysfunctional use; educators need to observe and guide behaviour....(in loco parentis).
- Some types of excessive technology use does interfere with learning, social relationships, work, mental health, and possibly cognitive development.



We have decades of

learn best in an

are critical...

research on the Science

of Learning, which has

examined how students

educational context and

found that the following



6 reasons why we should consider how much technology we use in schools...

- Every action takes a muscle. Students and young children in particular are still figuring out what fingers do. Moreover writing, more than keyboarding, uses more muscles including the muscle memory systems needed to recall movements and hand-eye coordination. Studies show that the developing brain depends on such activities.
- <u>We should want children to explore</u>. Yes they could explore colours, letters and apps on an iPad. But those worlds have limits. We should want them to engage with the world around them.
- <u>Students can learn to use a computer later</u>. There's an argument that children need to use keyboards and screens at an early age so they won't fall behind their peers...no evidence to support this and much evidence to contradict this.
- <u>Students have a lifetime to spend with a screen</u>. Arguably, most adults don't say, "Gosh, I wish I spent more time in front of a screen." Most of us wish for time away from the computer — pursuing hobbies, exercising or, maybe, playing with our wish. Let children be before the modern world takes over.
- 5. We should want students to think for themselves first. Harvard professor Howard Gardner differentiates between being "app-dependent," doing what technology says and looking for new technology when problems arise, and being "app-enabled" — people who use tech as a too but still loosess enough independence and creativity to strike out on their own...this takes time in the 'real' world to develop!
- 6. We don't really know the impact yet. And_Finnish kids top the world in educational achievement, and they don't start formal academics until age 6 or 7. It is unlikely that skipping an iPed app that has students reciting the full alphabet by 30 months of age or being tech sovy before entering formal education will keep them from eaming a promotion or tage 40.

Technology/apps...must be designed with five important 'Science of Learning' principles in mind!

- 1. Cognitively Active
- 2. Engaging
- 3. Meaningful
- Socially Interactive
 In the Service of a
- Learning Goal

Adapted from the work of Hirsch-Pasek et al, 2015.

1. Cognitively Active

Cognitively active learning implies 'minds-on' (executive functioning of the PFC) involvement during the learning experience, in addition to any physical activity that may be occurring, such as swipes and taps.







4. Socially Interactive

<u>High-quality interactions</u> (ie. those with knowledgeable social partners or in collaborative learning situations) that are contingent and adaptable to the student.



5. In the Service of a Learning Goal

Whatever is being used must go beyond engagement and 'edutainment' and have a learning goal as the foundation for engagement.



This is simply good pedagogy!



